IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 2630 of 1996

Between:

1. S. Surendher Reddy, S/o.Rami Reddy,
   New Thirumal Fruit Co., and Commission agent Fruit Marker,

Gaddiannaram Kothapet, Hyderabad.
2. K.Venkat Ram Reddy, S/o. Satyanarayana Reddy,
   Rajani Fruit Co., Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram Kothpet,
   Hyderabad.
3. S.Prasad Reddy, S/o. Ram Reddy,
   Thirumal Fruit Co., Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram Kothapet,
   Hyderabad.
4. S.Narendher reddy, S.o. Rama Reddy,
   S.Narendher Reddy Bross,Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram Kothpet,
   Hyderabad.
5. T.Rama Rao, S/o. T.Venkatramaiah,
   T.Rama Rao Bross.,Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram Kothpet,
   Hyderabad.
6. A.Madhusudhan, S/o. Balaraj,
   Komal Fruit Agency,Fruit Market, Gaddiannaram Kothpet,
   Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Director of Marketing A.P.,
  Hyderabad.
2 The Person-in-charge, Hyderabad Agricultural Market Committee,
  Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the

circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ of *Mandamus* declaring the action of the respondents in not constructing the allotting sheds in favour of the petitioners, as illegal, improper, unjust and also declare that the petitioners are entitled for shops/vacant sites at Gaddiannaram Fruit Market yard, to carry on their business as commission Agents.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.R.RAMACHANDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR AGRICULTURE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No.2630 of 1996

ORDER:

None appears for the petitioner. The learned Government Pleader for Agriculture submitted that the matter is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in **Bhavani Commission & General Merchant v. State of Andhra Pradesh and others.**

In the circumstances, the present writ petition is dismissed in terms of the orders in W.P.No.9066 of 1994 and batch. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

Date: 10th November, 2004.

Ksn

.ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Director of Marketing A.P.,
Hyderabad.
2 The Person-in-charge, Hyderabad Agricultural Market Committee,
Hyderabad.

3. Two C. Cs to G.P. for Agriculture, High Court Buildings,

HYDERABAD (OUT).

4. Two CD copies